'		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/088,234	PESCHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
 This communication is responsive to <u>10/1/03</u>. 		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-30</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> are accepted by the		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. 🛛 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received: 5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority ur reference was included in the first sentence of the specifica (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional a 6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority ur in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application	tion or in an Application Data Sheet pplication has been received. nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 si	. 37 CFR 1.78.
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of t	this communication to file a reply co	omplying with the requirements noted NTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No 		948) attached
(b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing or	orrection filed, which has be	en approved by the Examiner.
(c) 🔲 including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No		
identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR TO	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r HE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MA	nust be submitted. Note the TERIAL.
Attachment(s)		
1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5☐ Notice of Informal Pa	itent Application (PTO-152)
2☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		PTO-413), Paper No
3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No), 7 Examiner's Amendm	ent/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8⊠ Examiner's Statemer 9∏ Other	nt of Reasons for Allowance
		Chester T. Barry 703-306-5921 direct Art Unit: 1724

Application/Control Number: 10/088,234

Art Unit: 1724

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 2, 29 are not anticipated by KIGEL in view of applicants' representation that KIGEL does not describe an emulsion.

Claim 29 is not anticipated by CHEN in view of Applicants' representation that the inverted microemulsion obtained by Chen after adding the heat treated microemulsion to water does not result in the same material that would result from mixing at least one invert emulsion containing at least one cationic polyelectrolyte with an aqueous solution containing at least one mineral cation with a charge of greater than or equal to 2. See Response of 10/1/03 at pages 15-16.

Claim 30 is not anticipated by Chen given applicants' representation that Chen does not describe a composition in the form of an invert water-in-oil emulsion in which a mineral cation and a cationic polyelectrolyte are distributed in separate water droplets in the oily phase. See Response of 10/1/03 at page 16.

Claim 2 is not anticipated by Chen given applicants' representation that Chen does not describe a composition comprising "separately" at least one mineral cation of a charge of greater than or equal to 2 and a cationic polyelectrolyte. "[S]eparately" is construed as "in separate phases."

Claim 29 is not suggested by Aronson and Haase in view of Applicant's representation that the high-internal-phase emulsion disclosed by Aronson et al is a "completely different material" from the waste-containing water to which Haase discloses adding the aluminum sulfate, i.e., that the Aronson material is not similar to the Haase waste container water in any respect.

Claim 30 is not suggested by Aronson and Haase in view of Applicant's argument at page 19.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Chester T Barry

12/23/03

571-272-1152